



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,324	09/28/2001	Michael S. Hildreth	43702-251979	3168
759	90 01/16/2003			
Paul E. Knowlton, Esq. KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP Suite 2800 1100 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-4530			EXAMINER	
			COMSTOCK, DAVID C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3732	
			DATE MAILED: 01/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	,	HILDRETH, MICHAEL S.			
- Office Action Summary	09/966,324	Art Unit			
Cine Action Cummary	Examiner	3732			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	David C. Comstock ears on the cover sheet with the co				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/966,324

Art Unit: 3732

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hildreth (4,327,754; cited by Applicant) in view of Hanson (4,993,441).

Hildreth discloses a hair treatment device A comprising a supporting hair roller C, a base implement D including a first and second end having a cradle 41 and a broad cut-out shoulder opposite the cradle. A support rod 31 connects the first and second end. The support rod 31 also includes a support arm 20. Hildreth discloses the claimed invention except that the roller includes protrusions instead of notches. Hanson shows that notches are an equivalent structure known in the art (see Figs. 2-10). Therefore, because these two structures for roller engagement were art-recognized equivalents at the time the invention was made, one of ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to substitute notches for protrusions. Furthermore, it is old and well-known in the art to provide a hair roller with a compressible body such as foam (see, for example, Maznik (5,263,501), col. 6, lines 66-68). Hildreth also disclose a method of using the device comprising wrapping hair around rollers, placing the rollers in cradles in the first and second ends, applying solutions to the hair, and removing the hair from the rollers; therefore, the method including the notches and compressible roller body is inherent in

, Application/Control Number: 09/966,324

Art Unit: 3732

the device as set forth above (see col. 3, line 49 - col. 4, line 33). With regard to claims 10 and 11, it is old and well-known in the art to apply heat during a permanent and to rinse the hair after the permanent is complete (see, for example, Losenno (4,911,185) (disclosing a method of performing a permanent including heating and rinsing).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Comstock whose telephone number is (703) 308-8514.

90

D.C. Comstock January 11, 2002

> John J. Wilson Primary Exeminar